

Lecture 4: Conditional probability and correlation

Recap

Lecture 3 examined transformation from one probability density function to another and also joint probability density functions. We ended by paving the way for looking at conditional probability. This lecture will examine conditional probability density function in more detail and then look at correlation.

We finished up by writing out formal definitions for conditional probability:

$$F_x(r | s) = \text{probability that } r < x \leq r + dr \text{ given that } y = s. \quad (1)$$

When we count points in a given bin, we can say that out of N points total, the bin defined by $r < x \leq r + dr, s < y \leq s + ds$ will contain $N F_{xy}(r, s) dr ds$ points. If we consider a slice defined by $s < y \leq s + ds$, for any value of r , it will contain $N F_y(s) ds$ points. The fraction in $r < x \leq r + dr$ given that $y = s$ is

$$F_x(r | s) dr = \frac{N F_{xy}(r, s) dr ds}{N F_y(s) ds} \quad (2)$$

and the conditional pdf is

$$F_x(r | s) = \frac{F_{xy}(r, s)}{F_y(s)} \quad (3)$$

Bayes' Theorem

The formal definition for conditional probability can be written for r in terms of s , or for s in terms of y . We have

$$F_x(r | s) = \frac{F_{xy}(r, s)}{F_y(s)} \quad (4)$$

and also

$$F_y(s | r) = \frac{F_{xy}(r, s)}{F_x(r)} \quad (5)$$

We can combine these in a number of ways:

$$F_x(r | s) = \frac{F_y(s | r) F_x(r)}{F_y(s)} \quad (6)$$

Equivalently:

$$F_x(r | s) = \frac{F_y(s | r) F_x(r)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{xy}(r, s) dr} = \frac{F_y(s | r) F_x(r)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_y(s | r) F_x(r) dr} \quad (7)$$

This expression is called Bayes' Theorem and provides a formal framework for considering the probability of an event given prior knowledge.

If the random variables x and y are independent, then $F_x(r | s)$ is independent of s , which implies from (4) that

$$F_{xy}(r, s) = F_x(r) F_y(s). \quad (8)$$

General form of joint Gaussian pdf

To place the formal definitions in context, consider a joint pdf for independent Gaussian variables:

$$F_{xy}(r, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_y} \exp\left(\frac{-s^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right) \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} \exp\left[\frac{-1}{2} \left(\frac{r^2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{s^2}{\sigma_y^2}\right)\right]. \quad (10)$$

If x and y are uncorrelated, the joint pdf is either isotropic (if $\sigma_x = \sigma_y$) or has no tilt.

We can write the joint Gaussian distribution in a general form for a collection of variations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , with $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$:

$$F_{x_1x_2\dots}(r_1, r_2\dots) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^2\right] \quad (11)$$

Of course things change if we have two correlated variables, and in class we looked at the joint pdf that emerges from correlated noise, for example when x is drawn from a Gaussian distribution and $y = x + r$, where r is noise drawn from a Gaussian distribution. We also looked at the correlation of y and z when $z = x + s$, where s is different from r and also drawn from a Gaussian distribution. Both cases result in a tilted joint pdf, providing clear evidence that x and y (or x and z) are correlated.

```
% define correlated noise
x=randn(100000,1); y=randn(100000,1)+x;
z=randn(100000,1)+x;

% plot joint pdf for x and y
histogram2(x,y,'Normalization','pdf','DisplayStyle','tile')

% plot joint pdf for y and z
histogram2(y,z,'Normalization','pdf','DisplayStyle','tile')
```

Covariance

Calculating the joint pdf is often more than we can accomplish from real data. The **covariance** is a simple statistic relating variables x and y :

$$C_{xy} = \langle x'y' \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where the primes indicate that these are fluctuations about the mean. The covariance of a variable with itself is the **variance**:

$$C_{yy} = \langle y'y' \rangle. \quad (13)$$

The **correlation** is sort of a normalized covariance:

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{\langle x'y' \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle x'^2 \rangle \langle y'^2 \rangle}}. \quad (14)$$

How can we interpret the correlation. Let's consider a linear model, where y is a linear function of x . In the following, we assume that variables x and y zero means, or equivalently

that they have had their means removed, so the primes are dropped. A linear relationship between modeled \hat{y} and measured x is

$$\hat{y}' = \alpha x', \quad (15)$$

where α is a constant chosen to make \hat{y} approximate y .

We could also write this in a more general form as a matrix equation to fit lots of coefficients α_j to multiple form of data. In general form, we would write

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} \alpha_j, \quad (16)$$

where the elements of A_{ij} represent the j th element of data type i . As a matrix equation we would write

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\alpha, \quad (17)$$

where \mathbf{y} is a vector with M elements, α is a vector with N elements, and \mathbf{A} is an $M \times N$ matrix. We'll come back to this case later.

Let's continue with the one variable fit that we're considering now. We choose to minimize the mean-square error (mse):

$$\epsilon = \langle (\hat{y} - y)^2 \rangle = \alpha^2 \langle x^2 \rangle - 2\alpha \langle xy \rangle + \langle y^2 \rangle. \quad (18)$$

The best α in the sense that the mse is minimized is found by differentiating with respect to α , setting the result equal to zero, and solving for α . Because $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \pm\infty$, the result is a minimum.

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \alpha} = 2\alpha \langle x^2 \rangle - 2 \langle xy \rangle = 0. \quad (19)$$

Thus:

$$\alpha = \frac{\langle xy \rangle}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \quad (20)$$

The term α is a regression coefficient, and it assumes a fully linear relationship between x and y .

If we plug α into the equation for the mse, we can find the misfit

$$\epsilon = \alpha^2 \langle x^2 \rangle - 2\alpha \langle xy \rangle + \langle y^2 \rangle \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{\langle xy \rangle^2}{\langle x^2 \rangle} - 2 \frac{\langle xy \rangle^2}{\langle x^2 \rangle} + \langle y^2 \rangle \quad (22)$$

$$= \langle y^2 \rangle \left(1 - \frac{\langle xy \rangle^2}{\langle x^2 \rangle \langle y^2 \rangle} \right) \quad (23)$$

$$= \langle y^2 \rangle (1 - \rho_{xy}^2) \quad (24)$$

Thus the mean-squared error (the mse) is related to the variance of the quantity that we were trying to fit ($\langle y^2 \rangle$) multiplied by 1 minus the correlation coefficient squared.